

Iridium-Catalyzed Chemoselective Reductive Nucleophilic Addition to *N*-Methoxyamides

Minami Nakajima, Takaaki Sato,* and Noritaka Chida*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Iridium-catalyzed reductive nucleophilic addition to *N*-methoxyamides is reported. The reaction took place in high yields in the presence of a variety of sensitive functional groups such as esters and nitro groups. Mechanistic studies revealed that the reaction of *N*-methoxyamides proceeded without equilibrium to an enamine intermediate in contrast to that with *tert*-amides.



F unctionalization of amide carbonyl groups has increasingly become an important tool to synthesize biologically active natural alkaloids and pharmaceuticals.¹⁻⁴ One of the most significant advances in this field is in chemoselectivity.⁵ In general, transformation of amide carbonyl groups requires harsh conditions due to their high stability compared with ketones and esters. Recently, however, a number of useful methods have been reported in the presence of sensitive functional groups.⁶⁻⁸ For example, Nagashima disclosed that the iridium-catalyzed hydrosilylation of *tert*-amide 1 formed the N,O-acetal intermediate 2, which spontaneously underwent elimination to give enamine 3 (Scheme 1A).8f The reaction exhibited high chemoselectivity in the presence of a variety of sensitive functional groups including ketones, esters, and alkyl bromides. Very recently, Dixon developed the elegant reductive nitro-Mannich reaction of tert-lactam 4 by capitalizing on Nagashima's method (Scheme 1B).^{6g} After exposure of 4 to Nagashima's conditions, the resulting enamine 5 was converted to iminium ion 6 with HCl. Addition of K_2CO_3 to the reaction mixture then induced the nitro-Mannich reaction to give bicyclic product 7 in a one-pot sequence. Although not explicitly discussed in their report, this method has the potential for high chemoselectivity. In this paper, we describe the iridium-catalyzed reductive nucleophilic addition to Nmethoxyamides via a mechanism different from that of the tertamides.

In 2014, we reported the chemoselective nucleophilic addition to *N*-methoxyamide **8** (Scheme 1C).⁷ Reduction of **8** with the Schwartz reagent $[Cp_2ZrHCl]^9$ gave five-membered chelated intermediate **9**.^{8d,e,10} Treatment of **9** with an acid then induced nucleophilic addition with a nucleophile via *N*-oxyiminium ion **10**, giving substituted *N*-methoxyamine **11**. *N*-Methoxyamine **11** is known to undergo further unique transformations such as reductive cleavage of the *N*-methoxy group and direct oxidation to nitrones. We achieved high chemoselectivity in this reaction and applied it to the concise total synthesis of gephyrotoxin.^{7b,e} Unfortunately, our success depended on the unique reactivity of the equimolar Schwartz reagent, which is a relatively expensive chemical. To overcome

Scheme 1. Chemoselective Reductive Nucleophilic Addition to *tert*-Amides and *N*-Methoxyamides

(A) Reduction of tert-amides to enamines: Nagashima







(C) Reductive nucleophilic addition to N-methoxyamides: this study



this issue, we applied Nagashima's catalytic method. Treatment of N-methoxyamide 8 with $(Me_2HSi)_2O$ in the presence of a

Received:March 5, 2015Published:March 27, 2015

catalytic amount of the Vaska complex $[IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ would induce hydrosilylation to give *N*,*O*-acetal **12**. While the labile *N*,*O*-acetal intermediate derived from *tert*-amide **1** spontaneously converted to enamine **3**, we expected that *N*,*O*-acetal **12** from *N*-methoxyamide **8** would remain in the *N*,*O*-acetal form due to the lower electron-donating ability of the *N*-methoxyamine than the *tert*-amine, preventing the elimination process to form the enamine.¹¹ The subsequent addition of an acid to *N*,*O*-acetal **12** would enable nucleophilic addition via *N*-oxyiminium ion **10** as in the previous method with the Schwartz reagent.

The iridium-catalyzed reductive nucleophilic addition to Nmethoxyamide 8 was first realized with the silvl ketene acetal in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O (Scheme 2). A solution of N-

Scheme 2. Chemoselective Reductive Mannich Reaction to *N*-Methoxyamides



methoxyamide 8a and (Me2HSi)2O (1.1 equiv) in toluene was treated with a catalytic amount of the Vaska complex $[IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (5 mol %) for 30 min. The silvl ketene acetal (1.2 equiv) and BF₃·Et₂O (1.1 equiv) were then added in a one-pot sequence. As expected, the reductive Mannich reaction took place in excellent yield without affecting the more electrophilic methyl ester (13a: 97%). The reaction of the Nmethoxyamides occurred in high yields (81-97%) with high chemoselectivities in the presence of a variety of sensitive functional groups. A more electrophilic aliphatic methyl ester remained intact (13b: 81%). Other electrophilic functional groups were well tolerated such as nitro, nitrile, and benzyl chloride (13c: 94%; 13d: 95%; 13e: 97%). Undesired reductions of the aryl bromide and the olefin were not observed under the developed conditions (13f: 97%; 13g: 95%). Although the reaction required the assistance of BF_3 . Et₂O, the acetal group was not affected under these acidic

conditions (13h: 85%). The presence of a sulfonamide did not interfere with the reductive nucleophilic addition (13i: 96%).

The developed conditions allowed us to use a variety of organometallic reagents in the nucleophilic step without disturbing the high chemoselectivity (Table 1). For example,





^{*a*}Conditions: 8a (1 equiv), $[IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (5 mol %), $(Me_2HSi)_2O$ (1.1 equiv), toluene (0.2 M), rt, 30 min; nucleophile (1.2 equiv), BF₃·Et₂O (1.1 equiv), rt, 1 h. ^{*b*}Yield of isolated product after purification by column chromatography. ^{*c*}Sc(OTf)₃ (10 mol %) was used instead of BF₃·Et₂O. ^{*d*}2 equiv of NC-TMS were used. ^{*e*}The reaction was performed at -40 °C for 12 h.

the dimethyl silyl ketene acetal provided 14 in spite of the large steric hindrance (14: 84%). The γ -butenolide was directly installed to the amide carbonyl group through the vinylogous Mannich reaction (15:81%, dr = 1.7:1). Allylation, propargy-lation, and the Strecker-type reaction were possible with Sc(OTf)₃ (10 mol %) instead of BF₃·Et₂O (16: 85%; 17: 88%; 18: 80%). *N*-Methylindole was successfully installed at -40 °C (19: 72%).

¹H NMR experiments were performed to elucidate the intermediate produced by the iridium-catalyzed reduction of *N*-methoxyamide **8a** (Figure 1). Addition of $(Me_2HSi)_2O$ to a solution of **8a** in d_8 -toluene did not affect the ¹H NMR spectrum of **8a** (spectra A and B). Upon treatment of the solution of **8a** and $(Me_2HSi)_2O$ with the Vaska complex $[IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (5 mol %), a newly generated intermediate was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum (spectrum C), which contained a doublet-doublet peak at δ 4.85 (J = 7.2, 5.8 Hz) and two doublet peaks at δ 3.82 (J = 14.3 Hz) and δ 3.89 (J = 14.3 Hz). These peaks corresponded to the C8 methine and C9 benzyl methylene of *N*,*O*-acetal **12a**, respectively. Thus, the ¹H NMR studies revealed that the iridium-catalyzed reduction of the *N*-methoxyamide resulted not in the formation of the enamine, but the *N*,*O*-acetal.

To confirm whether the reaction proceeded via the enamine or not, a control experiment was conducted with *N*-

Organic Letters



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra (500 MHz) in the iridium-catalyzed reduction of *N*-methoxyamide 8a. (A) *N*-Methoxyamide 8a in d_8 -toluene; (B) *N*-methoxyamide 8a and (Me₂HSi)₂O in d_8 -toluene; (C) *N*-methoxyamide 8a, (Me₂HSi)₂O, and [IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂] (5 mol %) in d_8 -toluene, 30 min.

methoxyamide 8j bearing the asymmetric carbon center at the α -position of the amide carbonyl group (Scheme 3). The

Scheme 3. Control Experiment to Elucidate the Reaction Intermediate



iridium-catalyzed reduction of 8j (94% ee) formed *N*,*O*-acetal **12***j*, which was subsequently treated with the silyl ketene acetal and BF₃:Et₂O in a one-pot process. The nucleophilic addition afforded a 1.1:1 mixture of two diastereomers **13***j* in 71% yield. Interestingly, the enantiomeric excesses of both diastereomers were retained at 94% ee. This experiment revealed that *N*-oxyiminium ion **10***j* was not in equilibrium with enamine **20***j*, which would cause racemization of the products **13***j*. Although the reaction of **8***j* resulted in poor diastereoselectivity, the control experiment also suggested that the reductive nucleophilic addition to the *N*-methoxyamides might become a useful method with high 1,2-asymmetric induction, whereas that of the *tert*-amides would be unlikely due to the racemization via the enamine intermediate as shown in Scheme 1.

In conclusion, we have developed an iridium-catalyzed reductive nucleophilic addition to *N*-methoxyamides. The reaction took place in high yield with high chemoselectivity in the presence of a variety of sensitive functional groups. Mechanistically, the reduction step does not form an enamine, but an *N*,*O*-acetal, in contrast to the case with *tert*-amides. Treatment of the resulting *N*,*O*-acetal intermediate with an organometallic reagent and an acid provided a substituted *N*-methoxyamine without racemization at the α -position. These mechanistic insights promise future development of the nucleophilic addition to *N*-methoxyamides with 1,2-asymmetric induction.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures; copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- *E-mail: takaakis@applc.keio.ac.jp.
- *E-mail: chida@applc.keio.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from MEXT (24750045), and the Naito Foundation.

REFERENCES

(1) For reviews and perspectives on nucleophilic addition to amides, see:
(a) Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 96-101.
(b) Murai, T.; Mutoh, Y. Chem. Lett. 2012, 41, 2-8. (c) Pace, V.; Holzer, W. Aust. J. Chem. 2013, 66, 507-510. (d) Sato, T.; Chida, N.

Org. Biomol. Chem. **2014**, *12*, 3147–3150. (e) Pace, V.; Holzer, W.; Olofsson, B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 3697–3736.

(2) For selected examples of nonchemoselective nucleophilic addition to amides, see: (a) DeNinno, M. P.; Eller, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6545-6548. (b) Denton, S. M.; Wood, A. Synlett 1999, 55-56. (c) Suh, Y.-G.; Shin, D.-Y.; Jung, J.-K.; Kim, S.-H. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1064-1065. (d) Murai, T.; Mutoh, Y.; Ohta, Y.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5968-5969. (e) Murai, T.; Asai, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 780-781. (f) Tomashenko, O.; Sokolov, V.; Tomashevskiy, A.; Buchholz, H. A.; Welz-Biermann, U.; Chaplinski, V.; de Meijere, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 5107-5111. (g) Xiao, K.-J.; Luo, J.-M.; Ye, K.-Y.; Wang, Y.; Huang, P.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3037-3040. (h) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, Y.; Ye, K.-Y.; Huang, P.-Q. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12792-12796. (i) Bélanger, G.; O'Brien, G.; Larouche-Gauthier, R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4268-4271. (j) Medley, J. W.; Movassaghi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4572-4576. (k) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, A.-E.; Huang, P.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8314-8317. (1) Bonazzi, S.; Cheng, B.; Wzorek, J. S.; Evans, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9338-9341. (m) Xiao, K.-J.; Luo, J.-M.; Xia, X.-E.; Wang, Y.; Huang, P.-Q. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13075-13086. (n) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.-H.; Wang, X.-G.; Huang, P.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8305-8311. (o) Deng, H.-Q.; Qian, X.-Y.; Li, Y.-X.; Zheng, J.-F.; Xie, L.; Huang, P.-Q. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 258-266.

(3) We reported nonchemoselective nucleophilic addition to *N*-alkoxyamides, see: (a) Shirokane, K.; Kurosaki, Y.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6369–6372. (b) Yanagita, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Shirokane, K.; Kurosaki, Y.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 678–684. Vincent/Kouklovsky and Helmchen independently reported the excellent nucleophilic addition to *N*-alkoxyamides, see: (c) Vincent, G.; Guillot, R.; Kouklovsky, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1350–1353. (d) Vincent, G.; Karila, D.; Khalil, G.; Sancibrao, P.; Gori, D.; Kouklovsky, C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 16746–16755. Kibayashi reported pioneering work in total synthesis of natural products, see: (f) Iida, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Kibayashi, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, *107*, 5534–5535.

(4) For selected examples on related nucleophilic additions to acyclic esters, see: (a) Dahanukar, V. H.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 8317–8320. (b) Kopecky, D. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 191–198. (c) Kadota, I.; Ohno, A.; Matsuda, K.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 6702–6703.

(5) For selected reviews on chemoselectivity, see: (a) Boudier, A.; Bromm, L. O.; Lotz, M.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4414–4435. (b) Wender, P. A.; Croatt, M. P.; Witulski, B. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7505–7511. (c) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2854–2867. (d) Young, I. S.; Baran, P. S. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 193–205. (c) Afagh, N. A.; Yudin, A. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 262–310.

(6) For chemoselective transformation of amide carbonyls through C-C bond formation, see: (a) Calderwood, D. J.; Davies, R. V.; Rafferty, P.; Twigger, H. L.; Whelan, H. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1241-1244. (b) Wiedemann, S.; Marek, I.; de Meijere, A. Synlett 2002, 879-882. (c) Bechara, W. S.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 228-234. (d) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, A.-E.; Huang, Y.-H.; Huang, P.-Q. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1, 130-132. (e) Jakubec, P.; Hawkins, A.; Felzmann, W.; Dixon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17482-17485. (f) Huang, P.-Q.; Ou, W.; Xiao, K.-J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8761-8763. (g) Gregory, A. W.; Chambers, A.; Hawkins, A.; Jakubec, P.; Dixon, D. J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2015, 21, 111-114. (h) Huang, P.-Q.; Lang, Q.-W.; Wang, A.-E.; Zheng, J.-F. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1096-1099. (i) Wang, A.-E.; Chang, Z.; Sun, W.-T.; Huang, P.-Q. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 732-735. (j) Huang, P.-Q.; Huang, Y.-H.; Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, Y.; Xia, X.-E. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 2861-2868. Baba reported a chemoselective nucleophilic addition to sulfonylamides; see: (k) Inamoto, Y.; Kaga, Y.; Nishimoto, Y.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3452-3455. Magnus reported sequential nucleophilic addition; see: (1) Magnus, P.; Payne, A. H.; Hobson, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2077-2081. (m) Magnus, P.;

Gazzard, L.; Hobson, L.; Payne, A. H.; Rainey, T. J.; Westlund, N.; Lynch, V. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 3423–3443.

(7) We reported chemoselective reductive nucleophilic addition to amides with the Schwartz reagent; see: (a) Oda, Y.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 950-953. (b) Shirokane, K.; Wada, T.; Yoritate, M.; Minamikawa, R.; Takayama, N.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 512-516. (c) Yoritate, M.; Meguro, T.; Matsuo, N.; Shirokane, K.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 8210-8216. (d) Nakajima, M.; Oda, Y.; Wada, T.; Minamikawa, R.; Shirokane, K.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 17565-17571. (e) Shirokane, K.; Tanaka, Y.; Yoritate, M.; Takayama, N.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2015, 88, advance publication (doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.20140398). Ganem reported pioneering work; see: (f) Xia, Q.; Ganem, B. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 485-487. (g) Xia, Q.; Ganem, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1597-1598. From other groups, see: (h) DeBenedetto, M. V.; Green, M. E.; Wan, S.; Park, J.-H.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 835-838. (i) Gao, Y.; Huang, Z.; Zhuang, R.; Xu, J.; Zhang, P.; Tang, G.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4214-4217.

(8) For chemoselective reduction of amides to aldehydes or their derivatives, see: (a) Schedler, D. J. A.; Godfrey, A. G.; Ganem, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, 34, 5035–5038. (b) Schedler, D. J. A.; Li, J.; Ganem, B. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 4115–4119. (c) Bower, S.; Kreutzer, K. A.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1996**, 35, 1515–1516. (d) White, J. M.; Tunoori, A. R.; Georg, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 11995–11996. (e) Spletstoser, J. T.; White, J. M.; Tunoori, A. R.; Georg, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 3408–3419. (f) Motoyama, Y.; Aoki, M.; Takaoka, N.; Aoto, R.; Nagashima, H. Chem. Commun. **2009**, 1574–1576.

(9) (a) Wailes, P. C.; Weigold, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 24, 405–411. (b) Hart, D. W.; Schwartz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 8115–8116. (c) Zhao, Y.; Snieckus, V. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 390–393. (10) Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815–3818.

(11) Kurosaki, Y.; Shirokane, K.; Oishi, T.; Sato, T.; Chida, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2098–2101.

(12) Dixon demonstrated the 1 H NMR study to identify the intermediates in the iridium-catalyzed reductive nitro-Mannich reaction; see ref 6g.